Vertex Corrections for Positive-Definite Spectral Functions of Simple Metals
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We present a systematic study of vertex corrections in a homogeneous electron gas at metallic densities. The vertex diagrams are built using a recently proposed positive-definite diagrammatic expansion for the spectral function. The vertex function not only provides corrections to the well known plasmon and particle-hole scatterings, but also gives rise to new physical processes such as the generation of two plasmon excitations or the decay of the one-particle state into a two-particle–one-hole state. By an efficient Monte Carlo momentum integration we are able to show that the additional scattering channels are responsible for a reduction of the bandwidth, the appearance of a secondary plasmon satellite below the Fermi level, and a substantial redistribution of spectral weights. The feasibility of the approach for first-principles band-structure calculations is also discussed.
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Starting from the introduction of the notion of the quasiparticle (QP) [1] as an elemental excitation in Fermi liquids [2] we have almost a complete picture of its on-shell properties [3,4]. Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations [5,6], phase diagrams [7–12], structure factors [13], and effective interparticle interactions [14] contributed to our knowledge of Fermi liquids and to the development of the density functionals [15,16].

Despite these achievements we still have a poor knowledge of the energy- and momentum-resolved spectral function $A(k, \omega)$ away from the on-shell manifold, i.e., when $\omega \neq \varepsilon_k$. In angular resolved photoemission this is the regime where electrons with reduced energy (as compared to the prediction based on band structure and energy balance) are observed. Self-consistent (SC) perturbation theory, e.g., SC $GW$ [17], accurately predicts total energies [18–20] and it is fully conserving at the one-particle level. The latter is a crucial property in the description of transport phenomena [21]. However, for spectral properties SC schemes do not show the expected improvement over simpler one-shot calculations [22–24]. In fact, they suffer from serious drawbacks: the incoherent background in the spectral function gains weight at the expense of the QP peak [25], the bandwidth is largely overestimated [26,27], and the screened interaction does not obey the $f$-sum rule [28,29]. It was then proposed that self-energy (SE) diagrams with vertex corrections may cancel the spurious SC effects [25,30–33]. This fueled a number of notable attempts to include the vertex function in a model fashion: using the plasmon model for the screened interaction [34], neglecting the incoherent part of the electron spectral function [35], and employing the Ward identities and a model form of the exchange-correlation kernel [27,36–38], or the cumulant expansions [39–45]. Although these methods clarified a number of issues, they do not provide an exhaustive picture [46]. The major obstacle for a full-fledged vertex calculation, besides numerical complexity, is the issue of negative spectral densities, first noted by Minnhagen [34,50] and only recently solved by us using a positive-definite diagrammatic expansion [51,52]. Our solution merges many-body perturbation theory and scattering theory, thus returning a positive-semidefinite (PSD) spectral function by construction.

With the PSD tool at our disposal, in this Letter we investigate the influence of vertex corrections on the spectral function of the homogeneous electron gas (HEG) and demonstrate that it leads to a number of novel physical phenomena that cannot be reduced to mere self-consistency cancellations.
Let us motivate and discuss the PSD approximation used in this work. In terms of dressed electronic propagators and screened interaction $W$ there is a single second order SE diagram $\Sigma^{(2)} = \Sigma^{(2)}_{\text{PSD}}$. Its straightforward inclusion, however, yields negative spectra in some frequency regions. This prohibits the usual probability interpretation and, even worse, it jeopardizes SC calculations since the resulting Green’s function (GF) has the wrong analytic structure [53]. The key idea of the PSD scheme [51,52] consists in (1) writing a SE diagram as the sum of its partitions, i.e., diagrams with particle and hole propagators, (2) bisecting each partition into two half diagrams, (3) adding the missing half diagrams to form a perfect square, and (4) gluing the half diagrams back. For $\Sigma^{(2)}$ the half diagrams, see Figs. 1(a)–1(c), contain scatterings with up to three particles and two holes in the final state [51]. The SE partitions stem from the interference between these scatterings and after the PSD treatment one obtains partitions up to the fourth order in $W$, see Ref. [51] for the full list. Among them there are three that deserve special attention. $\Sigma_{\text{aa}}$ in Fig. 1(d) results from the interference of scattering (a) with itself. As illustrated in Fig. 1(g) $\Sigma_{\text{aa}}$ involves an electron-hole ($e$-$h$) pair (orange area) or a plasmon in the final state (this is the first order effect described by the $GW$ SE). The plus and minus vertices in the SE partitions have the purpose of distinguishing the constituent half diagrams (resulting from the cut of all propagators with $+/−$ and $−/+ $ vertices). $\Sigma_{\text{c}}$ in Fig. 1(e) is formed by the interference between the scattering (a), leading to a two-particle–one-hole ($p_f$,$q_f$) final state, and the same scattering with interchanged particle momenta (indicated with $\bar{a}$), see Fig. 1(h). Finally, $\Sigma_{\text{ct}}$ in Fig. 1(f) is formed by the interference between the scattering (c), in which a particle loses its energy by exciting two $e$-$h$ pairs, two plasmons, or a mixture of them, and the same scattering with interchanged particle and hole momenta, see Fig. 1(i). Plasmon generation is a dominant second order scattering process although it has a severely limited phase space (dark blue line and light blue area) due to energy and momentum conservation. Higher order terms in $W$ (up to fourth order) arise from other interferences and are needed to ensure the overall positivity [51]. In general the PSD procedure leads to a manifestly positive Fermi’s “golden rule” form of the SE, $\Sigma^{\gamma(k, \omega)} = \sum_n f^{\gamma(n)}(k, \omega) [1 + r_s f^{\gamma(n)}_1 + r_s^2 f^{\gamma(n)}_2 + \cdots + \gamma^2(\omega + \epsilon_k - E_f^{\gamma(n)})]$, where the sum runs over all final states of energy $E_f^{\gamma(n)}$ with $(n+1)$ particles and $n$ holes ($r_s$ being the Wigner-Seitz radius). The role of high order diagrams is twofold: they bring new scattering mechanisms into play (hence new rates $\gamma^{(n)}$) and renormalize them through the perturbative corrections $\gamma^{(n)}$. We already mentioned that one of the motivations for including diagrams beyond $GW$ is the excessive broadening of the spectral features when the level of self-consistency is increased, e.g., $\Sigma^{(0)} W^{(0)} \rightarrow GW^{(0)} \rightarrow GW$. As a full SC calculation of the PSD SE of Ref. [51] is out of reach, we partially account for self-consistency by using a $G^{(0)} W^{(0)}$ GF (finite QP broadening and plasmon satellites) and a RPA screened interaction. Our calculations indicate that higher-order diagrams (aside from bringing in new spectral features) counteract the undesired SC effects, thus suggesting the occurrence of sizable cancellations. We then explore the possibility of producing the PSD results with fewer diagrams and bare GFs. In the bare GF the chemical potential $\mu$ is iteratively adjusted by imposing that the energy of states on the Fermi sphere (where the discontinuity in the momentum distribution $n_k$ occurs) is exactly equal to $\mu$ [54]. In Fig. 2(a) we compare the rate

![FIG. 1. (a)–(c) The half diagrams emerging from the bisection of the $\Sigma^{(2)}$ partitions (the wiggly lines denote the screened interaction). (d)–(f) Three partitions of the PSD self-energy and (g)–(i) their momentum space representation.](image-url)
as obtained from the PSD diagrams of Ref. [51] with the $G^{(0)} W^{(0)}$ GF and from the much simpler $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \Sigma_{c+} + \Sigma_{ut}$ with the QP GF (in both cases we used a RPA $W$). The left flank and the height of the peak are in perfect agreement. At energies in the region of plasmon satellites the full PSD rate decays faster but the trend is similar and the impact of this discrepancy on the spectral function is only minor. More calculations at different $k$ (not shown) confirm the agreement between the two SEs. We therefore infer that the relevant scattering mechanisms for a positive-conserving, leading-order vertex correction are those of Figs. 1(g)–1(i).

This reduction of diagrams represents an important advance in view of the correlated band-structure calculations of solids. In the following we use the vertex correction of Figs. 1(d)–1(f) to calculate the QP and plasmon energy dispersions, spectral function, scattering rate, renormalization factor, and momentum distribution.

Results.—The electron density and the dimensionality completely determine the properties of the HEG; in the 3D case they fix the Fermi momentum and energy as follows: $k_F = (a r_s)^{-1}$ and $e_F = 1/2 (a r_s)^{-2}$ with $a = [4/(9\pi)]^{1/3}$. We consider the case of metallic densities $r_s = 4.0 a_B$ appropriate for, e.g., bulk Na metal. Angle-resolved photoemission experiments have pointed out a substantial narrowing of the occupied band in sodium [55] (bandwidth smaller by 20% as compared to the noninteracting electron dispersion). Although the spectral function of the HEG is not directly comparable with angle-resolved photoemission experiments, estimating the impact of vertex corrections is crucial to quantify extrinsic and surface effects [26,27,56,57]. Our diagrammatic approximation for the vertex provides a bandwidth reduction of 27.5% (as compared to the noninteracting case), see Fig. 2(b) and the Supplemental Material [58] for the analysis of Na. Figure 2(c) also shows the dispersion of plasmon satellites (red and blue curves).

In the calculations with vertex corrections (solid) the high-energy plasmon branch smears out and, unlike in the $G^{(0)} W^{(0)}$ approximation (dotted), there is no real solution to the Dyson equation. The low energy plasmon is only slightly affected by the vertex. At small momenta the $G^{(0)} W^{(0)}$ position of the plasmon satellite [which is 50% off the value of $\omega_{pl} = 1.881e_F$] is improved to a small degree. For momenta close to $k_F$ the distance from the QP energy reduces but it remains larger than $\omega_{pl}$. This agrees with the fact that close to the Fermi energy the plasmon satellite is shifted downward by the QP-plasmon interaction [68]. Of note, the vertex is also responsible for the emergence of a second branch at a lower energy (orange) whose position is only 12% off $2 \omega_{pl}$. Higher order diagrams are necessary to describe the higher order plasmon replica and to improve the position of the low-energy plasmon satellites [58].

Vertex corrections have a sizable impact on the energy and momentum resolved spectral function

$$A(k, \omega) = i(G_R - G_A)(k, \omega).$$

In Fig. 3 we display the color plot of $A(k, \omega)$ computed with our method. The dotted lines denote the solutions of the real part of the Dyson equation (used to produce the curves in Fig. 2): $\omega + \Delta \mu - \epsilon_a^{(0)} = \text{Re}[\Sigma^R(k, \omega)]$. Compared to $G^{(0)} W^{(0)}$ [24] the appearance of the second plasmon satellite below $\mu$, the redistribution of the spectral weight between the first and second satellite, and the broadening of plasmonic spectral features above $\mu$ are the most important findings of this work. They confirm the plasmon-pole model analysis of Ref. [35] that predicted only hole satellites and much broader particle features.

It is interesting to notice that vertex corrections make the QP peak sharper. This can be inferred from the explicit SE expression or from the rate $\Gamma$ of Eq. (1), which we plot in Figs. 4(a)–4(c) for three different values of the momentum. Plasmons do not contribute to the on-shell properties at energies around $\mu$ because they carry finite energy at zero momentum. Instead, the lifetime of the QPs in the vicinity of a QP or plasmon (PL) peak the spectral function with vertex corrections. The solid lines denote the free electron dispersion. The dots denote the solutions of the real Dyson equation, see the main text. For some momentum values multiple solutions (marked with different colors) are obtained.
vertex gives \( Z \) using our vertex function: the renormalization factor contains two quantities of physical interest that we computed.

\( G \) is the dispersion of the plasmon satellites. This expression contains two quantities of physical interest that we computed using our vertex function: the renormalization factor

\[
A(k, \omega) = Z^{(a)}(k) \frac{1}{\tau_k^{(a)}} \left( \omega - \epsilon_k^{(a)} \right)^2 \left( 1 + 2 \epsilon_k^{(a)} \right)^{-1},
\]

(2)

where \( \alpha = \text{QP, PL} \) and \( \epsilon_k^{(\text{QP})} = \epsilon_k \) whereas \( \epsilon_k^{(\text{PL})} \) is the dispersion of the plasmon satellites. This expression contains two quantities of physical interest that we computed using our vertex function: the renormalization factor

\[
Z^{(a)}(k) = \left( 1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \text{Re} \Sigma^R(k, \omega) \bigg|_{\omega = \epsilon_k^{(a)}} \right)^{-1},
\]

and the broadening of the QP or PL excitations

\[
1/\tau_k^{(a)} = Z^{(a)}(k) \Gamma(k, \epsilon_k^{(a)}).\]

The renormalization factor is shown in Fig. 4(d). At the band bottom (\( k = 0 \)) \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) gives only one plasmon satellite \( Z^{(\text{PL})} = 0.382 \) whereas our vertex approximation gives two satellites with comparable weight \( Z^{(\text{PL})} = 0.217 \) and \( Z^{(2\text{PL})} = 0.207 \). Furthermore, the QP weight is reduced from \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.578 \) (in \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \)) to \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.550 \), indicating that the incoherent part of the spectrum gains weight. These two effects cannot be seen in the cumulant expansion scheme using a \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) self-energy input [40], which suppresses \( Z \) of the higher plasmon satellites according to the Poissonian distribution [74] and, due to the neglect of the coupling between particle and hole seas, yields the same \( Z^{(\text{QP})} \) as in \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) [40].

For \( k = k_F \) the vertex corrections reduce only slightly the \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) QP renormalization factor. It is known that SC GW overestimates \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.793 \) the already good \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) value \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.638 \) (our calculation) or \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.646 \) (Hedin [17]). The proposed approximation to the vertex gives \( Z^{(\text{QP})} = 0.628 \), which thus remains rather close to the QMC results 0.64 to 0.69 (at \( r_s = 3.99a_B \)) [6]. At the Fermi momentum \( Z^{(\text{QP})} \) can also be deduced from the discontinuity of the momentum distribution function \( n_k \) [75–77]. In Fig. 4(e) we show \( n_k \) as obtained by a straightforward integration of the smooth part of the spectral function \( n_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\pi} (d\omega/\pi) A(k, \omega) \) and by adding the singular contributions analytically. The \( G^{(0)}W^{(0)} \) and vertex results are almost indistinguishable.

We finally analyze in Fig. 4(f) the quasiparticle lifetime, a measure of electronic correlations [78,79]. In \( ab \) initio calculations for realistic systems this quantity is typically estimated using the \( G_0W_0 \) approximation [80–82]. However, there have also been attempts to go beyond this level of theory by, e.g., including \( T \)-matrix diagrams. In Ref. [83] a reduction of the QP lifetime [an increase of \( \Gamma \) by 50% (70%)] in relation to GW for \( r_s = 2.07(4.86) \) has been predicted and explained by “the multiple scattering”. Our findings show the opposite trend, i.e., an increase of the QP lifetime (reduction of \( \Gamma \) by \(-50\% \) in relation to GW for \( r_s = 4 \)).

Conclusions.— Numerous authors have emphasized that the inclusion of the vertex function should remedy the drawbacks of self-consistent calculations [25,27,30,84]. Using our recently proposed diagrammatic analysis we have been able to confirm these expectations and show that this is only a part of the whole picture. Additionally, other second-order processes appear. They can be best described in the language of scattering theory with the link provided by the PSD formalism [51,52].

We fully characterized the spectral function of the 3D HEG in the \( k-\omega \) plane. The main original features that we found are a second plasmon satellite for the holes, a redistribution of the spectral weight between the hole
satellites, a reduction of the plasmon spectral weight for the particles, and a bandwidth reduction of the main QP band. So far these effects have only been partially captured by other, nondiagrammatic methods [58]. Our proposed approach has a universal character and can be extended to first-principle calculations of metals. In fact, in going from continuous to discrete translational symmetry the functions simply turn into matrix functions [e.g., \( \Sigma(k, \omega) \rightarrow \Sigma_G(k, \omega) \)], something that does not pose any conceptual difficulties for Monte Carlo momentum integration [85]. Alkali metals for which a vertex function has been partially included (typically using a model exchange-correlation kernel [87,88]) are a logical next step for our method. It is conceivable that higher order satellites can be accurately described by the combination of our approach with the cumulant expansion scheme outlined in Refs. [41,45]. A related approach based on the equation of motion coupled-cluster theory has been put forward recently [89].
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[46] For instance the cumulant expansion is exact for deep core states interacting with plasmons and leads to the spectrum with equally spaced satellites [39]. Yet, this assumption is less justified for the valence band excitations [47] overestimating the weight of higher order plasmon satellites.
We start with the zeroth approximation $\Delta \mu^{(0)} = \text{Re} \Sigma(k_F, 1/2k_F^2)$ and perform two more calculations for $k = k_F \pm \Delta k$, where $\Delta k$ is a small number, typically a few percent of the Fermi momentum. The refined chemical potential shift is then given by $\Delta \mu = \Delta \mu^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \epsilon_{k_F + \Delta k} + \Delta \epsilon_{k_F - \Delta k})$, where $\Delta \epsilon_k$ is the correlational shift.

This result represents a useful check for our numerical algorithms.